

Riley County Vision 2025 Committee Meeting

September 4, 2008

7:30 – 9:30 p.m. County Commission Chambers

Welcome & Review of Public Comments

- The meeting was called to order at 7:30pm by facilitators David Procter and Dan Kahl. Terrie McCants was in attendance. Procter welcomed the group and reminded those in attendance that comment cards were available for public comments. The facilitators briefly explained the revised agenda for the meeting.
- Monty Wedel reported that there were no public comments submitted. Wedel reviewed the Monthly Building Activity Report for August.

Full Group Discussion on Implementation Strategies (continued)

- Wedel fielded questions regarding the draft chapter of the Plan that was sent out prior to the meeting for discussion.
- A question was asked regarding proposed policies for building in a floodplain and how that may effect site specific resource extraction operations. Wedel stated that some language may be needed to exempt for certain industrial activities.
- Wedel transitioned the Committee into continuing the discussion of the implementation options.
- Dan Kahl reviewed the progress of the last meeting for those in attendance that were not at the last meeting, and to also refresh the Committee.
- Kahl continued the discussion on the possibility of creating a "super option" by using Option 4 Exclusive Ag District as a foundation, and perhaps adding elements of the other three options.
- The topic of "grandfathering" properties, as it related to fairness, was discussed.
- A suggestion was made to consider adding a "Transfer of Development Rights" requirement to Option 4. Discussion ensued and certain members of the Committee expressed that a TDR element could hold certain disadvantages, such as:
 - Bureaucratic
 - Overly complex
 - Cost of administering program
 - Who will administer the program
 - Lack of market
- Another suggestion was made to have a minimum lot size (exemption from development review) set at 80 acres for a single family home.
- In order for the Committee to decide on which option or combination thereof should be included in Option 4, it was suggested that Planning staff develop some scenarios demonstrating how grandfathering, TDR element/program and 80-acre minimum lot size would work.

Next Step

- Planning staff will develop some scenarios demonstrating how grandfathering, TDR element/program and/or the 80-acre minimum lot size might be utilized.

Next Full Committee meeting: The meeting was scheduled for Thursday October 2, 2008, 7:30 PM at the County Commission Chambers.

Meeting adjourned